

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/521,355	02/07/2006	Hiroshi Morikawa	IRD-0003 9323		
23353 RADER FISHI	7590 10/14/200 MAN & GRAUER PLI	EXAMINER			
LION BUILDING			DICKER, DENNIS T		
1233 20TH ST WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N. DC 20036	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
777711110101111111111111111111111111111			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) MORIKAWA, HIROSHI			
10/521,355				
Examiner	Art Unit			
DENNIS DICKER	2625			

this

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 12 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place:

application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or	
(A) The contract of the contra	

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______.

Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 Mey proposed of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⋈ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-9</u>.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

	The affidavit or other evide	ence filed after a final	action, but b	efore or on the	date of filing a	Notice of Appea	al will <u>not</u> be entered	1
	because applicant failed to	provide a showing or	good and s	ufficient reason	ns why the affid	avit or other evid	dence is necessary	and
	was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 1.116(e	1).		•			
_		,						

9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

/Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 /D. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviouses can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally availe be one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 83 r F. 2d 1071, 5 USPO2d 1986 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 37, 21 USPO2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Both references Ishida '978 and Rardi '940 teach a printer comprising a storage unit for storing bitmap data and a matrix of a dot pattern of n x m from said bitmap data See Col. 1 lines 62-Col. 2 lines 19 of Ishida '978 and Para 0036 of Kardii '094. Ishida '978 teaches smoothing processing for jaggies and Kardii '094 teaches and improved method (Para 0018) for having versmooth horizontal, vertial and stanted edges Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the improved method of Kardii '094 with the apparatus of Ishidi '978 in order to achieve high quality and better precision when smoothing bitmap data and further as stated in Para 0006 of Kardii '094 the objective of invention is to overcome the smoothing technique for only corners and diagnosis as itsualf by Ishidi '978 (Col. 3 lines 36-62).